


CONFERENCE FEEDBACK

1. Correspondence prior to the conference via e-mail and the World Wide Web:
 - Effective, pleasing, OK, operative, comfortable
 - “Urgent” in the subject caused some mail to be flagged as Spam
2. Conference Management System, improvements, comments:
 - Up-to-date, had some bugs on uploading files
 - Users should be allowed to re-upload papers until submission is closed
3. Overall strengths of the conference
 - Excellent Lectures / Speakers
 - High Quality Papers and Presentations
 - Well equipped venues
 - Diversity of subjects, Interesting topics
 - Excellent edition of Proceedings.
 - Conference management system / Web Portal
 - Good / Quality reviewers
 - Logistics
4. Overall weaknesses of the conference
 - Venue locations were too far apart / too many buildings
 - Timing of 1st day was too much out of schedule
 - Not enough opportunities to talk to other participants
 - Minor website problems
 - Presence at Workshops and Regular Sessions
 - Conference had a too broad spectrum of papers
5. General organization of the conference, logistical issues:
 - Sound problems in Avram Iancu Hall
 - Venue too distributed
 - Good logistics, overall organization of the conference was good.
6. Fulfillment of expectations set prior the conference, personal gain:
 - Conference was better than I expected
 - Overall I liked RoEduNet ‘10



Note: The feedback was centralized from feedback forms and its meaning was not altered in any way.